1 (The following proceedings were had in open court:) 2 THE CLERK: 14 CR 447, USA v. Hakeem E1 Bey. 3 MS. MALIZIA: Good morning, your Honor. Kathryn 4 Malizia and Chris Hotaling on behalf of the United States. 5 MR. HOTALING: Good morning, your Honor. 6 THE COURT: This is our status hearing. So what is 7 the situation? 8 MS. MALIZIA: Your Honor, I think standby counsel may 9 want to put their appearance --10 MR. SKLARSKY: Good morning, your Honor. Charles 11 Sklarsky standing in for Gabe Fuentes, who is standby counsel 12 for the defendant. 13 MS. MALIZIA: So, your Honor, in talking to the 14 marshal --15 THE COURT: Mr. Sklarsky, yes. 16 MS. MALIZIA: In talking to the marshals, it sounds 17 like Mr. El Bey refused to be transported this morning from 18 Kankakee. 19 We can do a couple things to address this. You can 20 issue an order that the marshals use all reasonable force to 21 compel him to appear here, at which time we can ask him 22 whether or not he wants to waive his right to be present at 23 his sentencing. 24 Alternatively, I suppose we could compel him to be 25 here for just the sentencing itself.

1 THE COURT: Excuse me. What did you say? 2 MS. MALIZIA: We could compel him just to be --3 compel him to appear at the sentencing, the sentencing next 4 week. I don't think we were entirely clear what the purpose 5 of this status was, but if you think it's important for him to 6 be here for this status, you can issue an order compelling the 7 marshals or having the marshals compel him to be here. 8 THE COURT: Yes. Yes. This status hearing is 9 important, so I would like to issue -- yes, it's called a drag 10 order? 11 MS. MALIZIA: I think that may be the common term. 12 MR. HOTALING: Yes. 13 When would you want us to next be in front of the 14 Court? 15 THE COURT: Well, why don't we do it at 10:00 o'clock 16 tomorrow? Is that okay? 17 MS. MALIZIA: That's fine for the government, your 18 Honor. 19 THE COURT: Now, I have run -- well, I'd like to hear 20 from Mr. Sklarsky, but my patience has run out. I want to 21 sentence him -- I want to sentence him to contempt. He's 22 disobeyed an order. He was supposed to show up this morning. 23 I'm going to punish him for that if I have the legal authority 24 to do so. I don't know that much about contempt. 25 MR. HOTALING: Well, and that's -- I don't know -- I

think you would address that question to Mr. Sklarsky, so perhaps he could address it -- -

HE COURT: That would be fine.

MR. HOTALING: -- and we could have a discussion about it at the follow-up.

MR. SKLARSKY: Well, I'm not sure what my standing is to opine one way or the other about it. I think a refusal of a direct court order is a form of contempt. In my experience, that certainly is. I'd like to know more about the circumstances of Mr. El Bey's refusal to appear this morning before I rendered any opinion about it.

THE COURT: Right. I would certainly give him a chance.

MR. SKLARSKY: Yes. And I also would believe that he should be here for whatever proceedings take place. I know that it is theoretically possible to waive one's appearance at critical proceedings in the process, but given what little I know about Mr. El Bey, I don't know about his ability to knowingly waive and intelligently waive any of his rights. He obviously waived his right to counsel, which was, in retrospect, certainly a bad decision, but -- so whether escapable, really, of waiving his right to be here for the status hearing or for sentencing, I don't know.

THE COURT: I have never -- sentencing somebody in absentia, I would be very reluctant to sentence someone in

1 absentia.

Mr. Hotaling? Ms. Malizia?

MR. HOTALING: Well, in terms of -- I mean, on the issue -- I agree with you and Mr. Sklarsky, we should have him here, and though I will say there have -- there are situations in which defendants have decided to absent themselves from particular proceedings. I can think of a trial that I, myself, participated in in which the defendant said, I don't want to come to the trial. Judge Castillo offered him the opportunity to have a closed-circuit TV camera in a different courtroom or even a different area of the MCC. And he said, I don't want to participate. I don't recognize the jurisdiction of the court. It was a very similar kind of jurisdictional argument. I don't want any part of it; I'm not going to sit, I'm not going to watch, I'm not going to listen, I'm going to absent myself.

And, obviously, he came in front of the Court and made that position known, and the Court of Appeals said that that was -- that's what he wants to do. He's got a right to do it.

So simply -- that's why we simply raised the -- that if that's really his position, he needs to come here in front of the Court and tell you, I don't want to -- I'm done with you, Judge. I don't want anything more to do with you.

And so if that's what his position he wants, I guess

he technically has that right. Again, I think it's a bad call, I think it's a bad decision, and hopefully by bringing him in before the Court this next opportunity, tomorrow, we can convince him or you can convince him to say, you know, it's really in your best interest to be in front of the Court and make whatever sort of mitigation arguments you want to make during the course of the sentencing, and then we can proceed.

So I think that's kind of the scenario under which it's technically possible, but hopefully he won't do that.

THE COURT: One reason that it's important for him to be here is that I would like -- one of the purposes of this status hearing, I wanted to ask him whether he -- whether it was -- whether he would allow Mr. -- so will Mr. Fuentes be available at the sentencing hearing?

MR. SKLARSKY: My understanding is that he will be.

And I think -- I know he's out of town today in Kentucky with a client. He should be back this evening is my understanding.
And I think he would be available tomorrow as well. I'm not available tomorrow. I have to be in Washington.

THE COURT: Because what I wanted to ask him is whether Mr. Fuentes -- whether he would permit Mr. Fuentes to speak at the sentencing hearing. My guess is he will say no. I would hope he'd say yes and give him quasi representation.

So I guess -- I hope tomorrow morning -- well, even

if he isn't here, I'll still ask him. I'll certainly strongly suggest that he allow Mr. Fuentes to speak.

Let me just ask. I was told he's in Kankakee?

MS. MALIZIA: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Now, I know that the -- that a lot of prisoners who have legal proceedings here are taken to somewhat remote areas of Illinois for jailing, but I was a little surprised that -- why wouldn't he be sent to the Metropolitan Correctional Center or whatever it's called?

MS. MALIZIA: Your Honor, to be honest, it's up to the Bureau of Prisons and the marshal service where they house people pretrial and before their sentencings. It's entirely their discretion and has a lot to do with resources and specific inmate needs. But it is very, very common for prisoners to be housed pretrial and post trial at Kankakee.

THE COURT: Yeah. It's disturbing. I encountered this before. Because these people, sometimes they get them up at like 4:00 a.m. to drive them to Chicago.

So what is Kankakee? An hour and a half?

MR. HOTALING: Judge, actually, I was just out at Kankakee last week. I'm part of the district court's detention committee, and a number of judges were actually visiting the Kankakee facility last Tuesday. It takes about an hour and 15 minutes. It's not as far as some of the other ones.

THE COURT: Right. I know.

MR. HOTALING: And I think that they get them up at 6:00 and get them here. And it's a system that -- I think it works fairly well, considering. I think the overcrowding issues that used to exist have gone down, and I think that the marshals have a good plan in place to make sure that people get here, get enough sleep to get here in time to be wide awake and ready for their court appearances.

We do have representatives of the marshals here if you'd like to talk to them.

THE COURT: What?

MR. HOTALING: We have representatives of the marshals here if you would like to talk to them, but that's your call.

THE COURT: So, yes, I would like to talk to them.

So when would he have to get -- wake up, be awakened at Kankakee?

THE MARSHAL: Good morning, your Honor. We do send a notice the day before to the facilities where these defendants are being housed.

So tonight at approximately 4:30 p.m. we will send a list out to Kankakee. The officers there will get the list so that they can arrange the correctional officers to get these guys from the old jail to the new jail ready for transport to the federal courthouse the next day.

1 THE COURT: So is 10:00 o'clock --2 THE MARSHAL: That's fine. That's a good time. We 3 will have him here by then. 4 THE COURT: Did he give any reason why --5 THE MARSHAL: I would have to talk to the 6 correctional officers --7 THE COURT: Pardon? 8 THE MARSHAL: I would have to talk to the correctional officers who were on the transport this morning. 9 10 My understanding is if they refuse, they are allowed to 11 refuse, unless there is a drag order, which will prohibit them 12 from choosing. 13 THE COURT: Okay. Well, I should issue the drag 14 order. 15 THE MARSHAL: It's just a minute order, your Honor. 16 THE COURT: Pardon? 17 THE MARSHAL: Which they will send to our lockup. We 18 will send that to Kankakee, and Kankakee will ensure that 19 their appearance -- or their presence, and we will bring them 20 to court. 21 THE COURT: So is there a particular language that's 22 used in these orders? 23 THE MARSHAL: Just -- you just put that you are 24 authorized to use whatever force necessary to bring him forth 25 to the federal district.

1	MR. HOTALING: We will make sure that the marshal
2	speaks with the clerk so that we can make sure that the
3	appropriate wording works its way onto the minute order.
4	THE COURT: So I have to sign something?
5	THE MARSHAL: We have a template, your Honor.
6	THE COURT: Pardon?
7	THE MARSHAL: We have a template in our lockup, so we
8	can get that to your clerk. I'm sure they have one. Most of
9	the judges's clerks have a template for the drag order. But
10	we will get that for you so you can sign it.
11	I believe so, your Honor. I believe you would have
12	to sign it.
13	THE COURT: So I have to sign it?
14	THE MARSHAL: Yes. We will get it to you, though.
15	We will get it to you within the half hour.
16	THE COURT: Okay. That will be good. I am going to
17	leave early.
18	THE MARSHAL: Yes. We will have it to you very soon.
19	THE COURT: Pardon?
20	THE MARSHAL: We will have it to you shortly, your
21	Honor.
22	THE COURT: That will be fine. Thank you.
23	THE MARSHAL: Okay.
24	THE COURT: Is there anything further you wanted to
25	discuss, we should discuss?

11

1	MR. HOTALING: It's up to you, Judge.
2	MS. MALIZIA: No.
3	THE COURT: No. The important thing is that he will
4	be here tomorrow. We will have to check that we can use this
5	courtroom.
6	Well, I guess that's it.
7	MS. MALIZIA: Thank you, Judge.
8	MR. HOTALING: Thank you, Judge. See you tomorrow.
9	(Which were all the proceedings had in the above-entitled
10	cause on the day and date aforesaid.)
11	I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from the record of proceedings in the above-entitled matter.
12	the record or proceedings in the above-entitled matter.
13	Carolyn R. Cox Official Court Reporter Northern District of Illinois
14	
15	/s/Carolyn R. Cox, CSR, RPR, CRR, FCRR
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	